

# Editorial rules

---

1.

Diritto Penale e Uomo (DPU) – Criminal Law and Human Condition is an *online* journal with free access, constantly updated.

2.

The bodies of the Journal are the Editorial Board, the Editorial Committee, the Scientific Committee, the Editorial Staff and the Consultants Committee. The list of members of the Committees is published on the website of the Journal, on the page “Who we are”.

3.

This Journal includes the following sections: Contributions (divided into subsections Articles, Arrows, Reflections, E-books, Historical sources), Interviews (Opinions and Conversations), Projects (divided into subsections Training, Legislation and Research), Reporting and Stories. For more information on the characteristics of each section and subsection, please refer to the “Programme” page.

4.

Anyone can forward to the e-mail address [redazione@dirittopenaleuomo.org](mailto:redazione@dirittopenaleuomo.org) their own contribution, i.e. to give information on projects, initiatives, stories and reporting of interest for the Journal. The Editorial Board, with the help of the Scientific Committee and the Consultants Committee, will evaluate whether the contribution or whether the news is suitable for publication.

5.

The material is given to the Journal free of charge and at no charge to the authors.

6.

The request for publication of the material must be accompanied by the author’s information, qualification and contact details, as well as, for contributions and stories, a declaration that the contribution or story is the exclusive work of the author(s) or, if the material has already been published elsewhere or is intended for other publication, the authorisation for dual publication or, at least, the precise indication of the other editorial location.

7.

In the event of a positive result of the preliminary assessment referred to in point 4, the publication *process* differs depending on the type of material:

7.1.

the material in the form of an Article is sent for the evaluation of two Reviewers, by *blind* procedure (in which case the anonymity of the review is guaranteed), or, at the choice

of the author, *open* procedure (in this case, the names of the Reviewers and their opinions will be made known to the author at the end of the review). In both cases, the Reviewers are selected according to the criteria of competence, linguistic knowledge and rotation. The Reviewers receive an evaluation form from the journal's Editorial Staff, which they must return completed in full by the deadline indicated. In case of late or non-delivery of the evaluation form, the Editorial Board reserves the right to indicate a new Reviewer.

The Editorial Staff informs the author of the outcome of the double review and, in the case of an *open* procedure, also sends them the signed and completed evaluation forms:

- if both reviews are positive, the Article is published. In addition, in the case of an *open* procedure, the evaluation sheets may be published together with the article being evaluated, at the discretion of the Editorial Board;
- if both are positive but at least one of them suggests changes, the Article is published only after adaptation of the article by the author to the suggested changes and verification of the final product by the Management Committee, possibly with the assistance of the Scientific Committee and the Consultants Committee;
- if only one review is positive, the Editorial Board reserves the right to send the Article to a third party reviewer;
- if both reviews are negative, the Article is not published;
- in any case, the outcome of the evaluation is communicated to the Author.

#### 7.2.

the material of a different format than the article (Arrows, Reflections, E-books, Historical sources, Projects, Reporting and Stories) will be submitted to the evaluation of a single Reviewer with possible outcomes:

- if the review is positive, the material is published;
- if the review is positive but suggests changes, the material is published only after adaptation of the material by the author to the suggested changes and verification of the final product by the Editorial Board, possibly with the help of the Scientific Committee and the Consultants Committee;
- if the review is negative, the material is not published;
- in any case, the outcome of the evaluation is communicated to the Author.

#### 8.

The Editorial Board reserves the right to publish the material sent by authors of recognized scientific authority without first submitting it to the review procedure, providing notice at the time of publication.

#### 9.

The evaluation sheets of the articles carried out by the Reviewers are filed by the Editorial Staff of the Journal and kept for at least three years.

#### 10.

To publish the material, the author must send a final version prepared according to the editorial rules published on the website of the journal, accompanied by a list of five keywords and, just for Articles and Reflections, an *abstract* in the original language and English. Failure to comply with the drafting criteria may constitute grounds for rejection of the request for publication.

**11.**

The material in the form of an Article, Reflection, Historical Sources, Interview and Story is also published in monthly pamphlets.

**12.**

The Editorial Board also reserves the faculty to extrapolate some selected passages from the Article, the Reflection, the E-book, the Historical source, the Interview or the Story, for republication on DPU - the blog.

**13.**

The Journal adopts the *Code of Conduct and Best Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors* developed by the COPE (*Committee on Publication Ethics*). Whenever the author of a contribution has supported in any capacity the theses expressed in the contribution itself within a given process or otherwise on behalf of a party (current or potential) of a process, or collaborates on a permanent basis with those who are in any of the above situations, the contribution must be accompanied by a preliminary declaration relating to the conflict of interest of the author. This declaration must clearly indicate the professional role or functions performed by the author in the process, as well as the origin of any professional assignment.

**14.**

The reader may share, reproduce, distribute, print, communicate to the public, exhibit in public, search and report by hypertext link any work published on Diritto Penale e Uomo (DPU) – Criminal Law and Human Condition, by any means and format, for any lawful and non-commercial purpose, to the extent permitted by the licence [Creative Commons - Attribution - Non-commercial 4.0 International \(CC BY-NC 4.0\)](#) in particular by retaining the indication of the source, the logo and the original graphic format, as well as the author of the contribution. The guarantees provided for in the rules governing the protection of copyright and other rights related to the exercise thereof (Law No 633/1941) shall not apply to aspects not expressly covered by that licence.

**15.**

For any further information please use the e-mail address [redazione@dirittopenaleuomo.org](mailto:redazione@dirittopenaleuomo.org).